

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 * * *

7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No. 2:03-CR-564 JCM

8 Plaintiff(s),

ORDER

9 v.

10 LOUIS MATTHEWS,

11 Defendant(s).
12

13 Presently before the court is defendant Louis Matthews's motion for copies. (ECF No. 93).

14 Defendant is represented by counsel in both this case and in his other case in front of this
15 court, *see U.S. v. Matthews*, case no. 2:15-cr-00062-JCM-CWH-2. Therefore, defendant is not
16 authorized to file motions *pro se*. *See, e.g., United States v. El-Alamin*, 574 F.3d 915, 923 (8th
17 Cir. 2009); *United States v. Hildreth*, 485 F.3d 1120, 1125 (10th Cir. 2007); *United States v.*
18 *Vampire Nation*, 451 F.3d 189, 206 n.17 (3d Cir. 2006).

19 Further, plaintiff has not demonstrated that he cannot afford to pay for the copies, and
20 therefore has not demonstrated that he has the right to obtain copies of these documents free of
21 charge. *See Helfrich v. Neven*, 2:14-cv-01725-RFB-NJK, 2015 WL 3875820, at *3 (D. Nev. June
22 22, 2015).

23 ...

24 ...

25 ...

26 ...


27 ...
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendant's motion for copies (ECF No. 93) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED.

DATED January 30, 2018.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE